

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 SERVICE EMPLOYEES  
7 INTERNATIONAL UNION, et al.,

8 Plaintiffs,

9 v.

10 SAL ROSELLI, et al.,

11 Defendants.

12 No. C 09-00404 WHA

13 **ORDER REGARDING DEFENDANT  
14 NUHW'S FILING OF OCTOBER 5**

15  
16 In this dispute over post-judgment discovery, culminating in plaintiffs' motion for  
17 sanctions, defendant NUHW was ordered to file a statement under oath identifying the bank and  
18 account number of the Siegel & Yee account listed in its general ledger, verifying that there are  
19 no documents concerning the Siegel & Yee account, and stating what happened to the \$265,000  
20 withdrawn from the Mechanics Bank account on September 9. The deadline was October 5,  
21 2010, at 5:00 p.m. Defendant filed a response, but it was not under oath, because “[c]ounsel has  
22 been unable to locate an [sic] NUHW representative authorized to respond . . . during the time  
23 allotted.” Defendant is granted leave to file the requested statement under oath anytime through  
24 October 20, 2010, the date of the hearing on the motion for sanctions, but in addition to the other  
25 topics to be addressed, it must address why it was not sworn to before the deadline of October 5.  
26  
27  
28

1 Whether one is filed or not (in addition, of course, to its content), as well as the timing of any  
2 such filing, will be considered in the context of plaintiffs' motion for sanctions.

3

4 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

5

6 Dated: October 6, 2010.

7

  
WILLIAM ALSUP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28